PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY KENNETH M. MASSARONI SCIENTIFIC-ATLANTA, INC. 51 L

From the

PCT

AUS 11 2009 SCIENTIFIC ATLANTA, INC.

INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT 5030 SUGARLOAF PARKWAY LAWRENCEVILLE, GA 30044		WRITTEN OPINION (PCT Rule 66)		
		Date of Mailing (day/month/year)	08 AUG 21	003
Applicant's or agent's file reference		REPLY DUE		-
F-5705-PC			within 2 months/days from the above date of mailing	
International application No.	International filing date	(day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/yea	r)
PCT/US02/20307	26 June 2002 (26.06.20	02)	29 June 2001 (29.06.2001)	
International Patent Classification (IPC)	or both national classifica	ion and IPC		
IPC(7): H04N 5/445, G06F 3/00, 13/00 Applicant	and US Cl.: 725/38			
SCIENTIFIC-ATLANTA, INC				
This written opinion is the fire	st_(first, etc.) drawn by	this International Pre	liminary Examining Authority	
This opinion contains indicati	ons relating to the followi	ng items:		1
I Basis of the opinion	on			ľ
II Priority				i
III Non-establishmen	t of opinion with regard to	novelty, inventive s	tep and industrial applicability	. [
IV Lack of unity of i	nvention			[
	nt under Rule 66.2 (a)(ii) anations supporting such s		y, inventive step or industrial	applicability;
VI Certain document	s cited			1
VII Certain defects in	the international applicati	on		1
VIII Certain observation	ons on the international ap	plication		1
The applicant is hereby invited.	ed to reply to this opinion	L.		}
	imit indicated above. The to grant an extension. So		re the expiration of that time l	imit, request
	a written reply, accompa and the language of the ar		ate, by amendments, accordin s 66.8 and 66.9.	g to Rule 66.3.
For the exam	onal opportunity to submit iner's obligation to consid- al communication with th	er amendments and/o	r arguments, see Rule 66.4 bi.	s.
		ination report will be	established on the basis of thi	s opinion.
 The final date by which the is examination report must be examination. 		ale 69,2 is: <u>29 Octob</u>	er 2003 (29.10.2003) .	
Name and mailing address of the IPEA	./US	Authorized officer	11/1	
Mail Stop PCT, Attn: IPEA/US Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450		Andrew Faile	Kann X. M	land "
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 Facsimile No. (703)305-3230		Telephone No. 70	p-306-0377	, 01

Form PCT/IPEA/408 (cover sheet)(July 1998)

International application No.	
PCT/US02/20307	
FC1/0302/2030/	

I.	Basis of the opinion
1.	With regard to the elements of the international application:*
	the international application as originally filed the description: pages 1-33 as originally filed pages NONE filed with the demand pages NONE filed with the letter of
	the claims: pages 34-37 as originally filed pages NONE as amended (together with any statement) under Article 19 pages NONE filed with the demand pages NONE filed with the letter of
	the drawings: pages -31
	the sequence listung part of the description: pages NONE
2.	With regard to the language, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the language in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. These elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following languagewhich is:
	the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule23.1(b)). the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).
	the language of potentiation for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3).
3.	With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the written opinion was drawn on the basis of the sequence listing:
	contained in the international application in printed form.
	filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
	furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.
	furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.
	The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished.
	The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished.
4.	The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:
	the description, pages NONE the claims, Nos. NONE the drawings, sheets/fig NONE
5.	This opinion has been drawn as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).
	Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in is opinion as "originally filed."

International application No. PCT/US02/20307

V. Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;				
citations and explanations supporting suc	h statement			
1. STATEMENT				
Novelty (N)		8, 26, 28, 29 and 30	YES	
	Claims	1-7, 9-25, 27, 31 and 32	NO	
Inventive Step (IS)	Claims	8, 28, 29 and 30	YES	
21.00		1-7, 9-26, 27, 31 and 32	NO	
Industrial Applicability (IA)	Claims		YES	
	Claims	NONE	NO	
CITATIONS AND EXPLANATIONS Please See Continuation Sheet Claims 8, 28, 29 and 30 meet the criteria set out in recited limitations in claims 8, 28, 29 and 30.	PCT Article 33	(2)-(3), because the prior art does not tea	ach or fairly suggest the	
NEW CITATIONS				

International	application No.
PCT/US02/2	0307

Supplemental Box

(To be used when the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient)

TIME LIMIT:

The time limit set for response to a Written Opinion may not be extended. 37 CFR 1.484(d). Any response received after the expiration of the time limit set in the Written Opinion will not be considered in preparing the International Preliminary Examination Report.

V. 2. Citations and Explanations:

Claims 1-7, 9-25, 27, and 31-32 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by Russo (US 5,619,247 A).

Regarding claim 1, Russo discloses a media system comprising: logic configured to provide a user interface that provides a user with a plurality of media content selections available at various times (the subscribers may choose to purchase the near-video-ondemand feature, pay per view); and a portable client media drive configured to receive over a secured transmission medium the media content selected by the tuser (a program storage records onto removable cassettes as shown by block [32]; enabling the user to play pure-recorded or record the selected programs (see 20, 7, lines 35-61; 0.8, lines 41-54; 0.4, lines 28-46; 0.4, d.).

Regarding claim 2, Russo shows that a subscriber network television system in electrical communication with the portable media drive (132) and a television (8) (see figures 1-2).

Regarding claims 3-4, Russo discloses that the broadband cable system provides the video program services to cable converter box (see col. 3, lines 46-48).

Regarding claim 5, Russo discloses a hard disk drive (112) that receives the media content and transfers the media content to the portable media drive (132) (see figure 2).

Regarding claim 6, Russo discloses a memory (110) that receives the media content selected by the user (see figure 2).

Regarding claim 7, Russo discloses a memory (112) that receives the media content and transfers the media content to the

portable media drive (see figure 2).

Regarding claim 9, Russo shows the user interface is provided by a remote server (broadband cable system 2 - see figure 2).

Regarding claim 10, Russo discloses a processor (150) and a memory (156), wherein the processor is configured with the memory to provide the user interface (see col. 9, lines 24-51)

Regarding claim 11, Russo discloses a processor to access secured media content over the secured transmission medium (bus

Regarding claim 11, Russo discloses a processor to access secured media content over the secured transmission medium (bit 152 - see figure 2).

Regarding claim 12, Russo discloses that the portable media drive comprises at least one portable medium (cassette, CD-ROM) (see col. 7, lines 44-47; col. 8, lines 44-47).

Regarding claim 13, it is noted that the portable media drive inherently comprises a carousel media drive to store tape or disc.

Regarding claim 14, it is noted that the portable media drive comprises a magazine drive to hold the tape or disc. Regarding claim 15, Russo discloses a television display showing the user interface (see col. 3-4, lines 51-9).

Regarding claim 16, Busso discloses a method for recording media content, comprising the steps of; providing a user interface to select from a plurality of media content selections available at different times (the subscribers may choose to purchase the near-video-on-demand feature, pay per view); receiving from a remote device the selected media content over a secured transmission medium; and recording the selected media content onto at least one portable medium (a program storage records onto removable cassettes as shown by block 132; enabling the user to play per-recorded or record the selected programs (see cd. 7, lines 35-61; col.

International application No. PCT/US02/20307

Supplemental Box

NONE.

(To be used when the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient)

----- NEW CITATIONS -----

8, lines 41-54; col. 4, lines 28-44).

Regarding claim 17, Russo shows that a subscriber network television system in electrical communication with the portable media drive (132) and a television (8) (see figures 1-2).

Regarding claims 18-19, Russo discloses that the broadband cable system provides the video program services to cable

converter box (see col. 3, lines 46-48).

Regarding claim 20, Russo shows decrypting the encrypted selected media content (by descrambler 114) (see figure 2).

Regarding claim 21, Russo shows the user interface is provided by a remote server (broadband cable system 2 - see figure

Regarding claim 22, Russo discloses providing the user interface from a local client device (providing on-screen menu or near-video-on-demand menu at the user terminal -see col. 4, lines 38-44).

Regarding claim 23, Russo discloses that the portable medium is located in a portable media drive (cassette, CD-ROM) (see col. 7, lines 44-47; col. 8, lines 44-47).

Reparding claim 24, Russo shows that the portable medium is coupled to a local client device (see figures 1-2).

Regarding claim 24, Russo shows that the portable medium is coupled to a local client device (see figures 1-2).

Regarding claim 25, Russo shows the remote device is a remote server (the broadband cable system - see col. 3, lines 46-

Regarding Gaint 25, Russo shows the femole device is a remote set ver (the ofosted date system * see Col. 3, this see

Regarding claim 27, Russo discloses a hard disk drive (112) that receives the media content and transfers the media content to the portable media drive (132) (see figure 2).

Regarding claims 31-32, Russo discloses that the subscribers may choose to purchase the neat-video-on-demand or pay per

view, if so desired via a television terminal 8 (see col. 3, lines 51-57; col. 4, lines 40-44).

Claim 26 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over Russo (US 5,619,247 A).

Regarding claim 26, Russo does not disclose the remote device is a home computer. It is noted that utilizing a home computer as the consumer terminal for receiving and storing programming services such as television programs is well known in the art. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Russo by utilizing a home computer as consumer terminal to receive and store the programs for displaying or playback the received-programs.